

Vanessa R. Waldref
United States Attorney
Eastern District of Washington
Thomas J. Hanlon
Assistant United States Attorney
402 E. Yakima Ave., Suite 210
Yakima, WA 98901
Telephone: (509) 454-4425

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO: 1:22-CR-2079-SAB-1
vs.)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) PLAINTIFF'S SENTENCING
JAMES SKAHAN-LOWE,) MEMORANDUM
Defendant.)

Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Vanesa R. Waldref, United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Washington, and Thomas J. Hanlon, Assistant United States Attorney, submits the following sentencing memorandum:

I.

SENTENCING CALCULATIONS

The United States agrees with the calculations contained in the Presentence Investigation Report. The guideline range is a sentence of seven (7) years. See,

1 U.S.S.G. § 2K2.4(b). The statutory range is a sentence between 7 years to life
2 imprisonment. See, 18 U.S.C. 924(c)(1)(A)(ii). Here, the parties have agreed to
3 recommend that the Court impose a sentence within a range of 96 to 120 months
4 imprisonment.¹

5
6
7 II.

8
9 GOVERNMENT'S OBJECTION TO PSIR
10
11

12 The Government has filed no objections to the PSIR.

13
14 III.

15
16 OBJECTIONS TO PSIR
17
18

19 The parties have filed no objections to the PSIR.

20
21 IV.

22
23 SENTENCING FACTORS UNDER 18 U.S.C. §3553(a)

24 Since “*Booker*, the scheme of downward and upward departures has been
25 replaced by the requirement that judges impose a reasonable sentence.” *United*
26 *States v. Tankersley*, 537 F.3d 1100, 1113 (9th Cir. 2008). The Guidelines are the
27 starting point and the initial benchmark for the sentencing process. *Kimbrough v.*
28 *United States*, 128 S. Ct. 558 (2007). The Court has broad power to make a
reasoned decision on the individualized facts before it. *Rita v. United States*, 127

¹ See, ECF No. 23.

1 S. Ct. 2456, 2468 (2007). The Court “take[s] into account the totality of the
2 circumstances” to determine whether a sentence is reasonable. *Gall v. United*
3 *States*, 128 S. Ct. 586, 597 (2007). A district court contemplating a non-Guideline
4 sentence must consider the extent of the deviation and ensure that the justification
5 is sufficiently compelling to support the degree of the variance. *Peugh v. United*
6 *States*, 133 S. Ct. 2083 (2013)(citations omitted).

9 In determining the appropriate sentence, this Court is required to consider
10 the factors as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

12 1. The nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and
13 characteristics of Defendant.

14 The current offense is serious. An innocent citizen parked on the side of the
15 road to talk to a family member on the phone. The citizen was not on the
16 Defendant’s property. It appears that the Defendant confused the citizen with
17 someone who had previously been on his property. The Defendant jumped in a
18 vehicle, drove out to the victim’s vehicle, parked, and confronted the victim. The
19 Defendant was erratic. The victim attempted to calm down the situation and
20 offered the Defendant a beer. The Defendant walked back to his vehicle, grabbed
21 a rifle, and ordered the victim onto the ground. The victim tried to escape and
22 attempted to run away. The Defendant chased him and struck him in the back with
23 the rifle. The Defendant left the victim on the ground. The Defendant left the area
24
25
26
27
28

1 and returned a short time later with another person. This other person stole the
2 victim's car.

3 The Defendant is a 30-year-old male. The Defendant has been involved in
4 the criminal justice system for most of his life. The Defendant has a criminal
5 history score of 26! The Defendant has been convicted of multiple offenses,
6 including but not limited to: (1) residential burglary (2010); (2) vehicle prowling
7 (2010); (3) unlawful possession of a firearm (2011); (4) first degree possession of
8 stolen property (2014); (5) second degree burglary (2014); (6) possession of a
9 stolen vehicle (2016); (7) second degree burglary (2017); (8) possession of a stolen
10 vehicle (2018); (9) first degree burglary (2021); and (10) possession of a stolen
11 motor vehicle and first degree unlawful possession of a firearm (2022). It appears
12 that the Defendant was out of custody on pre-trial release when he committed the
13 instant offense.² The Defendant was ingesting marijuana, methamphetamine, and
14 alcohol on a daily basis until the time of his arrest.

15 It should be noted that the instant offense has been extremely traumatic for
16 the victim. The victim has changed his life due to this violent crime. The victim
17 no longer goes outside alone. The victim has become fearful of going out in
18 public. The victim is struggling with getting his life back in order.

27
28

² The Defendant was arrested on June 27, 2021 for first degree burglary. He was sentenced on
June 9, 2022. The instant offense was committed on February 26, 2022.

1
2 2. The need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the
3 offense, promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment.

4 The Defendant has demonstrated that he has no respect for the law, and no
5 respect for innocent members of our community. The Defendant has been
6 victimizing others his entire adult life. A sentence of 120 months is necessary to
7 promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment.
8
9

10 3. The need for the sentence imposed to afford adequate deterrence to
11 criminal conduct.

12 The Defendant prior contacts with the criminal justice have failed to deter
13 him from engaging in criminal acts.
14

15 In 2011, the Defendant was sentenced to 13.5 months imprisonment. In
16 2015, he was sentenced to 9 months imprisonment. In 2015, he was sentenced to 9
17 months imprisonment. In 2016, he was sentenced to 12 months and 1 day
18 imprisonment. In 2018, he was sentenced to 25 months imprisonment. It seems
19 clear that these prior terms of incarceration failed to deter the Defendant from
20 engaging in criminal acts.
21
22

23 The government respectfully recommends that this Court impose a sentence
24 of 120 months imprisonment to deter the Defendant from engaging in future
25 criminal conduct.
26

27 4. The need for the sentence imposed to protect the public from further
28 crimes of Defendant.

1 The Defendant is a danger to community. As noted above, the Defendant
2
3 has been committing criminal acts and/or possessing guns for his entire adult life.

4 The public deserves to be protected from the Defendant.

5 5. The need for the sentence imposed to provide Defendant with needed
6 educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional
7 treatment in the most effective manner.

8 The Defendant appears to be in need of vocational training and substance
9
10 abuse treatment.

11 V.

12 GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

13 The government recommends that the Court impose a sentence of 120
14 months imprisonment followed by five years of supervised release. The
15
16 government is attempting to obtain receipts for restitution.

17 Respectfully submitted this 10th day of January 2023.

18
19
20 Vanessa R. Waldref
21 United States Attorney

22
23
24 s\ Thomas J. Hanlon
25 THOMAS J. HANLON
26 Assistant United States Attorney

1
2 I hereby certify that on January 10, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing
3 with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF which will send notification of such
4 filing to the following: Alex B. Hernandez, III.
5
6
7

8 s\ Thomas J. Hanlon
9 THOMAS J. HANLON
10 Assistant United States Attorney
11 United States Attorney's Office
12 402 E. Yakima Ave., Suite 210
13 Yakima, WA 98901
14 (509) 454-4425
15 Fax (509) 454-4435
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28